

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/765,207	01/17/2001	Ansgar Brock	P0021US00	5731	
29490	7590 05/15/2003				
TIMOTHY L. SMITH GENOMICS INSTITUTE OF THE NOVARTIS RESEARCH FOUNDATION 10675 JOHN JAY HOPKINS DRIVE, SUITE E225 SAN DIEGO, CA 92121-1127			EXAMINER		
			NOLAND, THOMAS		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2856		
			DATE MAILED: 05/15/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	ation No. Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	09/765,201 Bruce et		/- c/	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	1 ~	Group Art Unit	
	(3~/63	2)	2516	L
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be	eneath the co	rrespondence ac	idress
Period for Reply	2			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S)	FROM THE MAII	LING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. 	within the statutory minimupire SIX (6) MONTHS from	um of thirty (30) of the mailing date	days will be considered	ed timely. on .
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jear 2	15-2007			
☐ This action is FINAL.				
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except fo accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 			the merits is clo	sed in
Disposition of Claims				
X Claim(s) 68- F (is/are p	ending in the app	lication.
Of the above claim(s)				
□ Claim(s)		is/are a	illowed.	
□ Claim(s) 6 8 - 8 (is/are r	ejected.	
□ Claim(s)				
□ Claim(s)		are sub	pject to restriction ment.	or election
Application Papers				
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing I	•	-		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is	_ disapproved	1.	
 □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 	to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde	or 35 I I S C - 8 11 9/a)-/	'd)		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the		•		
□ received.				
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)			•	
 received in this national stage application from the International 	ational Bureau (PCT R	ule 1 7.2(a)).		
*Certified copies not received:			•	
Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(0/1/0/1/2	1-12		
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). / 1 - In	terview Sumn	nary, PTO-413	
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	´ □N	otice of Inform	nal Patent Applicat	tion, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		ther		
Office A	Action Summary			

co State

Application Number: 09/765,207

Art Unit: 2856

1. The amendment filed Jan. 29, 2003 has been entered.

۲,

- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of the invention of group 2, now claims 68-81 in Paper No. 10, filed Jan. 29, 2003 is acknowledged.
- 3. The restriction requirement is made final. It is noted that the nonelected claims have been canceled by the Jan. 29, 2003 amendment.
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 76-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear what limitation is being claimed by terminology such as "permits" in line 2 of claims 76 and 77.

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 68-81 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sauter US 6,149,815 in view of King et al US 6,132,582.

Application Number: 09/765,207

Art Unit: 2856

Sauter especially in its abstract, Figs. 1-2, col. 7, line 24 - col. 9, line 61 and col .14, lines

28-50 shows a sample deposition system substantially as claimed which inherently has a sample

plate that could be movable but does not disclose specific means for moving it. However since

King et al especially in its abstract, Fig. 1 and col. 13, lines 35-55 shows the use of such in a

similar such sample handling system it would have been obvious to have incorporated such

moving means in a system similar to that of Sauter for greater control. The exact voltage, ground

and charge application locations would have been obvious expedients suggested for selection by

construction convenience and to help better control drop application.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 8.

disclosure. The references show use of electrical sample handling or multi-sample systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Tom Noland whose telephone number is (703) 305-4765. The examiner can

normally be reached on weekdays from 9:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Mr. Hezron E. Williams, can be reached on (703) 305-4705.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

13/53 Thomas P. Noland **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 2856 Ham net

T NOLAND/pi 04/25/03